DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.2970

ISSN: 2320 – 7051 *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **5** (4): 853-859 (2017)



Research Article



Effect of Zinc Application on Distribution of Its Concentration and Dry Matter Yield in Plant Parts at Various Growth Stages in Wheat Varieties

Deepa Rawat^{1*}, P. C. Srivastava², Savita³ and Santosh Chandra Bhatt⁴

 ^{1,2,4}Department of Soil Science, College of Agriculture, GB Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Dist. US Nagar, Pin: 263145, Uttarakhand
 ³Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Indi- 585209, UAS Dharwad, Karnataka
 *Corresponding Author E-mail: rawatdeepa291@gmail.com
 Received: 11.05.2017 | Revised: 19.05.2017 | Accepted: 20.05.2017

ABSTRACT

A pot experiment was conducted in the green house of GB Pant University of Agriculture and Technology Pantnagar, to study the effect of Zn treatment on its concentration and dry matter yield in different plant parts of four wheat varieties. The soil had sandy loam texture, 7.2 pH, 0.9% organic carbon and 0.47 mg DTPA extractable Zn per kg soil. Each pot received recommended dose of 25 mg N, 11.2 mg P and 20.75 mg K kg⁻¹ soil. Application of 10 mg Zn kg⁻¹ soil significantly increased the average dry matter yield in plant parts. Among the varieties UP 262 recorded the highest average concentration of Zn per plant part at 30, 60 and 120 days after sowing. At the stage of harvesting the UP 2628 (1.135 g) reported the maximum average dry matter yield per plant and the lowest value was noted in UP 262 (0.844 g). The present study revealed that maximum accumulation of Zn took place in the stem at initial growth stages whereas; at later stages, higher amount was accumulated in root. The variety UP 2628 showed highest grain yield and lowest was recorded in UP262. The varieties PBW 175 and UP 2554 exhibited intermediate behaviour.

Key words: Zinc concentration, Wheat varieties, Plant parts, Dry matter yield.

INTRODUCTION

As Zn plays multiple roles in plant biochemical and physiological processes, even slight deficiency causes a decrease in growth, yield, and Zn content of edible plant parts. Singh²⁰ reported a wide spread hidden hunger of Zn in seeds and feeds which is affecting a large segment of resource deprived families whose food comes mainly from cereals grown on Zn deficient soils. It is prevalent especially, in developing nations where non-diverse diets are composed primarily of cereals that contain a low Zn concentration with only a small assimilable fraction¹².

Cultivars within a plant species differ in their ability to take up Zn, which may be caused by differences in absorption, translocation and utilization of Zn. It has been observed that the Zn translocation to the edible part is low in Zn inefficient varieties.

Cite this article: Rawat, D., Srivastava, P.C., Savita and Bhatt, S.C., Effect of Zinc Application on Distribution of Its Concentration and Dry Matter Yield in Plant Parts at Various Growth Stages in Wheat Varieties, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **5(4)**: 853-859 (2017). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.2970

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

Zn transport in plants takes place through both the xylem and the phloem. Following absorption by the root, Zn is rapidly transported via the xylem to the shoot. It has been claimed that the Zn transportation from phloem does not occur in wheat, leaving roots starved of Zn if not supplied in root environment. Dang *et al*⁴ reported that organs of winter wheat with the highest Zn concentration differed with the change of growth centre at different growing stages. The amount of Zn accumulation in leaf blade was the highest among all the organs during early growing period, and the percentage of Zn distribution in leaf blades was higher than 50% before jointing, higher than those in other organs. In late growing period, however, the amount of Zn accumulation in grains was the highest. Pearson *et al*¹⁶., reported that under Zn-deficiency wheat grain was not a strong sink for Zn, but under high Zn supply; a protective barrier prevents excessive Zn accumulation in the wheat grain. In wheat, there is a xylem discontinuity at the base of the grain²¹ and xylem-phloem exchange occurred in the rachis and to a lesser extent in the peduncle, lemma and palea¹⁷. Cakmak *et* al^3 ., showed that a Zn-inefficient durum wheat cultivar exhibited Zn-deficiency symptoms earlier and more intensely than a Zn-efficient bread wheat cultivar even though the Zn tissue concentrations were similar in both lines, suggesting differential utilization of Zn in the two cultivars. The ability of some crop genotypes to tolerate low Zn availability over others is still not fully understood⁶. Vacuoles are assumed to be the major sites of metal sequestration in root cells¹⁴. Once Zn has entered the cytoplasm of a root cell, it might be transported into the vacuole, especially if it is present in excess of nutritional needs. Transport of metal ions into root vacuoles decreases their availability for transfer to the shoot via the xylem, resulting in the higher accumulation of Zn in roots. Zn concentration in cereals may be increased by applying Zn fertilizer to the soil or directly to the plants². The appliance of Zn fertilizers may not always necessarily facilitate resolving the problem for agronomic and economic reasons⁵ in developing countries. So, in view of economic as well as agronomic approach, it is constructive to exploit the genetic variability in crops and develop varieties with high Zn-Copyright © August, 2017; IJPAB

use efficiency and low Zn tolerance. Such varieties should be competent enough to easily uptake and translocate Zn to shoots that result in higher yields even in Zn-deficient soils and may produce Zn-rich grains which can provide a sustainable and cost-effective solution to the Zn-malnutrition problem in developing countries. In order to achieve this. identification of the mechanisms associated with Zn efficient varieties and the phenotypic characters associated with high Zn use efficiency in a crop would help plant breeders develop varieties with high Zn use efficiency. Though the mechanisms of zinc use efficiency in crops have been thoroughly reviewed by Rengel¹⁹ however the ability of some crop genotypes to tolerate low Zn availability over others is still not fully understood⁶. In view of these facts, an investigation was planned with the objectives to examine the pattern of Zn translocation in different plant parts and their dry matter yield under various growth stages in Zn deficient and sufficient conditions. This may provide an idea about the Zinc use efficiency of different varieties under test and the actual sink of Zn accumulation and assimilation other than grain in such varieties. The information obtained may prove to be helpful in selection, improvement and development of varieties that can combine high yield trait with high grain-Zn mass concentrations under Zn deficient situations to overcome Zn malnutrition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A pot experiment was conducted in the green house of GB Pant University of Agriculture and Technology Pantnagar, District Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand. A bulk surface (0-15cm) samples of Mollisol was collected from portions of E1 plot of Norman E. Borlaug Crop research Centre of the University. The soil had sandy loam texture 7.2 pH, 0.9 percent organic carbon and 0.47 mg DTPA extractable Zn per kg soil. The processed soil (4 kg) was filled in plastic pots. Each pot received recommended dose of NPK through urea, potassium hydrogen phosphate and potassium chloride basally in liquid form. The pretreatment imposed consisted of a factorial combination of four wheat varieties (UP 262, UP 2628, PBW 175, UP 2554) and two Zn levels (0 and 10 mg Zn kg⁻¹ soil). There were two replications. Zinc was applied through a

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

stock solution of Zn.SO₄.7H₂O. The plants were harvested after 30, 60, 90 and 120 days of sowing. Roots were also recovered from the soil after shoot harvest at each level. After harvesting, shoots and roots were separated into upper lamina, lower lamina and ear for initial four stages. While at the stage of harvesting plant parts were separated as root, shoot and grain. After proper washing and drying the plant parts were digested with diacid mixture (HNO3:HClO4, ratio 9:4) and were analysed for Zn concentration by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (GBC Avanta-M) and the content of Zn was expressed in terms of mg kg⁻¹ plant tissue. The data obtained was analyzed by using 3 factorials completely randomized design.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main effect of plant parts had statistically significant effect on the average concentration of Zn in wheat plant parts at all four growth stages. The highest average concentration of Zn was reported in stem (68.5 mg Zn kg⁻¹) at 30 and in root at 60 (48.6 mg Zn kg⁻¹), 90 (45.71 mg Zn kg⁻¹) and 120 (59.0 mg Zn kg⁻¹) days after sowing. The data on Zn concentration in different plant parts in wheat varieties indicated that at 30 days after sowing (Table:1) the highest Zn concentration was found in stem followed by root, lower lamina and upper lamina. The higher concentration of Zn in lower lamina than in upper lamina at the initial stage suggested the poor translocation of Zn from older to younger leaves. Under low Zn conditions, Zn is not usually effectively mobilized from the older leaves, phloem mobility of Zn is fairly low. This has been suggested as reason for Zn deficiency symptoms appearing primarily on young leaves¹³. However, a recent study in wheat has suggested that under certain conditions, Zn might move readily through the phloem⁸. Unlike the initial stage (30 days after stem had the least average sowing). concentration of Zn than upper and lower lamina at 60 and 90 days after sowing indicating higher translocation and accumulation of Zn towards leaf blades at later stages because translocation of Zn from leaves contributed more to total Zn allocated to cereal grains than concurrent Zn uptake during grain filling. The average Zn concentration of roots in UP 262 was the Copyright © August, 2017; IJPAB

highest at 30, 60 and 90 days after sowing as the plants sensitive to Zn deficiency accumulated excess Zn in root vacuoles to supply more Zn to the shoot¹⁵. The main effect of Zn levels had statistically significant influence on the average concentration of Zn in plant parts of wheat at 30, 60 and 90 days after sowing and the application of 10 mg Zn kg⁻¹ soil increased the average concentration of Zn in plant parts of wheat by 42.3%, 21.5% and 21.8% over no application of Zn at 30, 60 and 90 days after transplanting, respectively. highest increase in average The Zn concentration was noted in UP 2628 under Zn application as compared to no application of Zn whereas in case of UP 262 the increase was much lower in magnitude. The plants required the highest amount of Zn during initial stage and more of the Zn was translocated to the shoot to meet the requirements of rapid growth of the plant. At this stage the increase in the average Zn concentration with Zn application was the highest in PBW 175 whereas the lowest increase in the average Zn concentration was recorded in UP 2628. The Zn inefficient variety; UP 262, was observed with the highest average Zn concentration at 30 days after sowing followed by UP 2554 whereas PBW 175 and comparatively Zn tolerant UP 2628 had lower concentration of Zn in comparison to UP 262 suggesting that Zn efficient variety had lower requirement of Zn at initial stage as compared to Zn inefficient genotypes. In a greenhouse experiment, Kumar and Qureshi¹¹ (2012) also recorded that the Zn content in wheat leaves, stem and roots at 60 days after sowing significantly increased with increased levels of Zn in soil. Ranjbar and Bahmaniar¹⁸ reported that the concentration of Zn in flag leaves and grains of wheat had been increased by Zn application. Dang *et al*⁴., observed that the concentration of Zn in various aboveground organs of wheat was 9.5 to 112.5 mg kg^{-1} at different growing stages. The order of different plant tissues with respect to Zn content was leaf blades > spikes > leaf sheaths > stems showing the net absorption and transportation of Zn as well as their contribution to Zn accumulation in grains. The main effect of varieties had statistically significant influence on average concentration of Zn in plant parts of wheat at all the growth stages except at 90 days after sowing. Among

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

wheat varieties UP 262 recorded the highest average concentration of Zn per plant part at 30 (55.7 mg Zn kg⁻¹), 60 (39.2 mg Zn kg⁻¹) and 120 (43.0 mg Zn kg⁻¹) days after sowing. Moreover, it is quite apparent from the study that the Zn concentration in grain in UP 2628 was at par with that of UP 262 but the overall grain uptake of Zn was the highest in UP 2628 followed by UP 2554 owing to the higher production of dry matter in these varieties in comparison to UP 262 and PBW 175. The interaction effect of plant parts and Zn levels significantly affected the average concentration of Zn in wheat at 30 and 120 days after sowing. At 30 days after sowing, the highest increase among the plant parts due to Zn application was noted in lower lamina (54.4%) while the lowest increase was reported in root (30.3%). At 120 days after sowing, application of Zn brought the maximum increase of 28.6% in grain. Interaction effect of Zn levels and varieties had statistically significant influence on average concentration of Zn per plant parts in wheat at 30 and 120 days after sowing. At 30 days after sowing, the variety PBW 175 recorded the maximum increase (65.9%) in the average Zn concentration per plant with application of 10 mg Zn kg⁻¹ soil over no Zn application whereas, the lowest increase was noted in UP 2628 (24.7%). At 120 days after sowing, application of Zn increased the average Zn concentration most prominently in UP 2554 (15.6%) followed by UP 262 (12.7%) over no application of Zn. The interaction effect of plant parts and varieties significantly affected the average concentration of Zn in wheat plants at all four growth stages. The interaction effect of plant parts, Zn levels and varieties had statistically significant influence on average Zn concentration of wheat plants at all growth stages except at 60 days after sowing. With no application of Zn, the average Zn concentration in grain ranged from 34.1 mg Zn kg⁻¹ to 40.4 mg Zn kg⁻¹ whereas, with 10 mg Zn kg⁻¹ soil, the average concentration of Zn in wheat varieties ranged from 36.0 mg Zn kg⁻¹ to 45.6 mg Zn kg⁻¹. The ability of a plant to tolerate limited amounts of available Zn included higher root uptake, more efficient biochemical utilization of Zn, and enhanced Zn translocation within the $plant^7$. As indicated by the data presented in table 5 of dry matter yield at the harvesting stage (120 Copyright © August, 2017; IJPAB

days after sowing), the effect of Zn fertilization did not exhibit any significant variation in the average Zn concentration of wheat plant but in the individual plant parts the average Zn concentration increased in straw and grain with 10 mg Zn kg⁻¹ soil by 9.8 and 28.6 percent over no Zn application, respectively however; a decrease of 11.1 percent was noted in root. Since Zn plays an important role in plant biochemical and physiological processes, even slight deficiency could cause a decrease in growth, yield and Zn content of edible plant part. Kalayci *et al*¹⁰., opined that the Zn mass concentration in grains could be increased by 1.5 to 3.5 fold in wheat by Zn fertilization. With Zn application the lower lamina recorded the maximum increase in average Zn concentration and indicated poor translocation of Zn from it.

As regards the dry matter yield at the harvesting stage, the average grain weight was the highest in UP 2628 and the least value was noted for UP 262. The grain weight noted for UP 2554 was at par with those of UP 2628 and in PBW 175 the value recorded for grain weight was close to UP 262 showing its lower capacity of grain production under Zn deficient conditions. According to Jiang and Huang⁹, the yield components in wheat increased as the influence of Zn on the amount of chlorophyll and the concentration of abscisic acid, increased chlorophyll content increased the yield through the increase in photosynthesis. Apart from grain, the dry matter yield of straw was also highest in UP 2628. In a field experiment conducted by Bendary *et al*¹, to investigate the response of nine local hexaploid wheat cultivars to Zn application and to assess the effect of wheat genotypes on Zn efficiency, the grain dry weight of Zn efficient cultivar Gemmieza-7 was the highest while Zn-inefficient Sahel-1 had the lowest dry weight of grains, under Zndeficient conditions. At 120 days after sowing, the effect of Zn levels had no significant effect on dry matter yield in wheat plants. Similar results were recorded by Regel and Graham⁵ who noted that the relative production of root and shoot dry matter did not differ significantly under Zn deficient- as compared to Zn sufficient- condition for two genotypes Durati wheat and Warigal which were varying in Zn sensitivity.

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 5 (4): 853-859 (2017)

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

| Rawat <i>et al</i> | Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 5 (4): 853-859 (2017) | ISSN: 2320 – 7051 |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Table 1: Effect of Zn | application on Zn concentration (mg Zn kg ⁻¹) in different pla | nt parts of wheat at 30 |
| | days after sowing | |

| Zn0 61.7 33.2 | Root Zn10 65.4 55.5 | Mean 63.6 | Zn0 61.9 | Stem Zn10 93.2 | Mean | Lov Zn0 | wer lami Zn10 | na Mean | U Zn0 | pper lam Zn10 | ina Mean | Mean o Zn0 | f plant p Zn10 | part Mean |
|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 61.7 | 65.4 | | | | | Zn0 | Zn10 | Mean | Zn0 | Zn10 | Mean | Znû | Zn10 | Moon |
| | | 63.6 | 61.9 | 93.2 | | | | | | 2.1110 | mean | 2.110 | 2.1110 | wiean |
| 33.2 | 55.5 | | | | 77.5 | 35.6 | 53.9 | 44.7 | 31.0 | 42.9 | 36.9 | 47.5 | 63.8 | 55.7 |
| | | 44.4 | 66.0 | 69.6 | 67.8 | 28.2 | 35.8 | 32.0 | 27.4 | 32.1 | 29.7 | 38.7 | 48.2 | 43.4 |
| 39.4 | 51.8 | 45.6 | 44.6 | 76.3 | 60.4 | 25.7 | 51.0 | 38.3 | 24.5 | 43.4 | 33.9 | 33.5 | 55.6 | 44.6 |
| 51.5 | 69.5 | 60.5 | 51.2 | 85.6 | 68.4 | 39.3 | 57.9 | 48.6 | 31.1 | 44.3 | 37.7 | 43.2 | 64.3 | 53.8 |
| 46.5 | 60.5 | 53.5 | 55.9 | 81.1 | 68.5 | 32.2 | 49.6 | 40.9 | 28.5 | 40.7 | 34.6 | 40.7 | 58.0 | 49.4 |
| PP | | Zn | | V | | PP×Zn | | Zn×V | | PP×V | | PP×Zn×V | | |
| 0.7 | | 0.5 | | 0.7 | | 0.9 | | 1.3 | | 0.9 | | 1.9 | | |
| 1.9 | | 1.3 | | 1.9 | | 2.7 | | 3.8 | | 2.7 | | 5.4 | | |
| 51 46 F | 1.5 5.5 PP .7 | 1.5 69.5 5.5 60.5 PP .7 | 1.5 69.5 60.5 5.5 60.5 53.5 PP Zn .7 0.5 | 1.5 69.5 60.5 51.2 5.5 60.5 53.5 55.9 PP Zn .7 0.5 | 1.5 69.5 60.5 51.2 85.6 5.5 60.5 53.5 55.9 81.1 PP Zn V .7 0.5 0.7 | 1.5 69.5 60.5 51.2 85.6 68.4 5.5 60.5 53.5 55.9 81.1 68.5 PP Zn V .7 0.5 0.7 | 1.5 69.5 60.5 51.2 85.6 68.4 39.3 5.5 60.5 53.5 55.9 81.1 68.5 32.2 PP Zn V PP×Zn .7 0.5 0.7 0.9 | 1.5 69.5 60.5 51.2 85.6 68.4 39.3 57.9 5.5 60.5 53.5 55.9 81.1 68.5 32.2 49.6 PP Zn V PP×Zn .7 0.5 0.7 0.9 | 1.5 69.5 60.5 51.2 85.6 68.4 39.3 57.9 48.6 5.5 60.5 53.5 55.9 81.1 68.5 32.2 49.6 40.9 PP Zn V PP×Zn Zn×V .7 0.5 0.7 0.9 1.3 | 1.5 69.5 60.5 51.2 85.6 68.4 39.3 57.9 48.6 31.1 5.5 60.5 53.5 55.9 81.1 68.5 32.2 49.6 40.9 28.5 PP Zn V PP×Zn Zn×V .7 0.5 0.7 0.9 1.3 | 1.5 69.5 60.5 51.2 85.6 68.4 39.3 57.9 48.6 31.1 44.3 5.5 60.5 53.5 55.9 81.1 68.5 32.2 49.6 40.9 28.5 40.7 PP Zn V PP×Zn Zn×V PP×V .7 0.5 0.7 0.9 1.3 0.9 | 1.5 69.5 60.5 51.2 85.6 68.4 39.3 57.9 48.6 31.1 44.3 37.7 5.5 60.5 53.5 55.9 81.1 68.5 32.2 49.6 40.9 28.5 40.7 34.6 PP Zn V PP×Zn Zn×V PP×V .7 0.5 0.7 0.9 1.3 0.9 | 1.569.560.551.285.668.439.357.948.631.144.337.743.25.560.553.555.981.168.532.249.640.928.540.734.640.7PZnVPP×ZnZn×VPP×VPP×Zn×V.70.50.70.91.30.91.9 | 1.5 69.5 60.5 51.2 85.6 68.4 39.3 57.9 48.6 31.1 44.3 37.7 43.2 64.3 5.5 60.5 53.5 55.9 81.1 68.5 32.2 49.6 40.9 28.5 40.7 34.6 40.7 58.0 PP Zn V PP×Zn Zn×V PP×V PP×Zn×V .7 0.5 0.7 0.9 1.3 0.9 1.9 |

PP= Plant parts, Zn= Zinc, V= varieties, Zn0= 0 mg Zn/kg soil, Zn10= 10 mg Zn/kg soil

Table 2: Effect of Zn application on Zn concentration (mg Zn kg⁻¹) in different plant parts of wheat at 60 days after sowing

| Varieties | Root | | | Stem | | | Lower lamina | | | Upper lamina | | | E | merging | ear | Me | an of p | lant part |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------------|------|-------|--------------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|-----------|
| varieties | ZO | Z10 | Mean | Zn0 | Zn10 | Mean | Zn0 | Zn10 | Mean | Zn0 | Zn10 | Mean | Zn0 | Zn10 | Mean | Zn0 | Z10 | Mean |
| UP 262 | 56.1 | 57.7 | 56.9 | 30.0 | 33.4 | 31.7 | 38.8 | 43.8 | 41.3 | 31.7 | 36.2 | 34.0 | 31.7 | 32.3 | 32.0 | 37.7 | 40.7 | 39.2 |
| UP2628 | 40.7 | 56.7 | 48.7 | 25.2 | 41.2 | 33.2 | 21.0 | 32.6 | 26.8 | 25.3 | 30.9 | 28.1 | 43.5 | 46.2 | 44.8 | 31.1 | 41.5 | 36.3 |
| PBW175 | 42.8 | 47.2 | 45.0 | 15.7 | 26.0 | 20.9 | 22.2 | 27.3 | 24.7 | 25.7 | 31.4 | 28.5 | 30.5 | 36.1 | 33.3 | 27.4 | 33.6 | 30.5 |
| UP2554 | 41.7 | 45.9 | 43.8 | 19.4 | 37.9 | 28.7 | 18.2 | 28.0 | 23.1 | 31.3 | 32.1 | 31.7 | 31.8 | 34.5 | 33.1 | 28.5 | 35.7 | 32.1 |
| Mean | 45.3 | 51.9 | 48.6 | 22.6 | 34.6 | 28.6 | 25.0 | 32.9 | 29.0 | 28.5 | 32.7 | 30.6 | 34.4 | 37.2 | 35.8 | 31.2 | 37.9 | 34.5 |
| | | PP | | Zn | | V | | | PP×Zn | | | Zn×V | | | PP×V | | | PP×Zn×V |
| SEm± | | 1.6 | | 1.0 | | 1.5 | | | 2.3 | | | 3.3 | | | 2.1 | | | 4.6 |
| CD (p≤0.05 | 5) | 4.7 | | 3.0 | | 4.2 | | | NS | | | NS | | | 5.9 | | | NS |

PP= Plant parts, Zn= Zinc, V= varieties, Zn0= 0 mg Zn/kg soil, Zn10= 10 mg Zn/kg soil

Table 3: Effect of Zn application on Zn concentration (mg Zn kg⁻¹) in different plant parts of wheat at 90

| | | | | | | | | days | after so | owing | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|----------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------|---------|--|
| Varieties | | Root | | | Stem | | | Lower Lamina | | | Upper lamina | | | Ear | | | Mean of plant parts | | |
| varieties | ZO | Z10 | Mean | Zn0 | Zn10 | Mean | Zn0 | Zn10 | Mean | Zn0 | Zn10 | Mean | Zn0 | Zn10 | Mean | Zn0 | Z10 | Mean | |
| UP 262 | 37.70 | 43.28 | 40.49 | 15.35 | 28.63 | 21.99 | 35.90 | 38.75 | 37.33 | 24.98 | 26.80 | 25.89 | 46.65 | 45.50 | 46.08 | 32.12 | 36.59 | 34.35 | |
| UP2628 | 56.70 | 64.85 | 60.78 | 9.73 | 17.30 | 13.51 | 23.80 | 36.95 | 30.38 | 22.70 | 24.83 | 23.76 | 33.10 | 35.28 | 34.19 | 29.21 | 35.84 | 32.52 | |
| PBW175 | 37.15 | 42.80 | 39.98 | 6.43 | 12.88 | 9.65 | 25.15 | 25.35 | 25.25 | 16.90 | 20.40 | 18.65 | 25.83 | 37.65 | 31.74 | 22.29 | 27.82 | 25.05 | |
| UP2554 | 45.93 | 37.25 | 41.59 | 12.45 | 21.55 | 17.00 | 32.60 | 46.15 | 39.38 | 27.48 | 32.68 | 30.08 | 25.60 | 45.80 | 35.70 | 28.81 | 36.69 | 32.75 | |
| Mean | 44.37 | 47.04 | 45.71 | 10.99 | 20.09 | 15.54 | 29.36 | 36.80 | 33.08 | 23.01 | 26.18 | 24.59 | 32.79 | 41.06 | 36.93 | 28.11 | 34.23 | 31.17 | |
| | | PP | | Zn | | V | | | PP×Zn | | | Zn×V | | | PP×V | | | PP×Zn×V | |
| SEm± | | 1.2 | | 0.7 | | 1.0 | | | 1.7 | | | 2.3 | | | 1.5 | | | 3.3 | |
| CD (p≤0.0: | 5) | 3.3 | | 2.1 | | NS | | | NS | | | NS | | | 4.2 | | | 9.5 | |

PP= Plant parts, Zn= Zinc, V= varieties, Zn0= 0 mg Zn/kg soil, Zn10= 10 mg Zn/kg soil

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 5 (4): 853-859 (2017)

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

 Table 4: Effect of Zn application on Zn concentration (mg Zn kg⁻¹) in different plant parts of wheat at 120 days after sowing

| | | | | | aajb | unter 500 | · ·····B | | | | | | |
|-------------|------|------|------|------|-------|-----------|----------|-------|------|--------------------|------|---------|--|
| Varieties | Root | | | | Straw | | | Grain | | Mean of plant part | | | |
| | ZO | Z10 | Mean | Zn0 | Zn10 | Mean | Zn0 | Z10 | Mean | Zn0 | Z10 | Mean | |
| UP 262 | 65.4 | 68.1 | 66.7 | 22.4 | 23.5 | 22.9 | 33.6 | 45.2 | 39.4 | 40.4 | 45.6 | 43.0 | |
| UP2628 | 59.0 | 48.6 | 53.8 | 20.9 | 25.7 | 23.3 | 37.2 | 39.4 | 38.3 | 39.0 | 37.9 | 38.5 | |
| PBW175 | 71.9 | 46.4 | 59.1 | 23.8 | 25.5 | 24.6 | 23.7 | 36.1 | 29.9 | 39.8 | 36.0 | 37.9 | |
| UP2554 | 53.7 | 59.2 | 56.4 | 18.2 | 19.1 | 18.7 | 30.3 | 39.8 | 35.1 | 34.1 | 39.4 | 36.7 | |
| Mean | 62.5 | 55.5 | 59.0 | 21.3 | 23.4 | 22.4 | 31.2 | 40.1 | 35.7 | 38.3 | 39.7 | 39.0 | |
| | PP | | Zn | | V | | PP×Zn | | Zn×V | | PP×V | PP×Zn×V | |
| SEm± | 0.8 | | 0.6 | | 0.9 | | 1.1 | | 1.5 | | 1.2 | 2.1 | |
| CD (p≤0.05) | 2.2 | | NS | | 2.5 | | 3.1 | | 4.3 | | 3.5 | 6.1 | |

PP= Plant parts, Zn= Zinc, V= varieties, Zn0= 0 mg Zn/kg soil, Zn10= 10 mg Zn/kg soil

Table 5: Effect of Zn application on dry matter yield (g) of different plant parts of wheat at 120 days after sowing

| Varieties | | Root | | | Straw | | | Grain | | Mean of plant part | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|--------------------|---------|-------|--|
| | ZO | Z10 | Mean | Zn0 | Zn10 | Mean | Zn0 | Z10 | Mean | Zn0 | Z10 | Mean | |
| UP 262 | 0.109 | 0.121 | 0.115 | 1.738 | 2.311 | 2.024 | 0.295 | 0.490 | 0.393 | 0.714 | 0.974 | 0.844 | |
| UP2628 | 0.193 | 0.148 | 0.170 | 2.154 | 2.018 | 2.086 | 0.954 | 1.343 | 1.148 | 1.100 | 1.169 | 1.135 | |
| PBW175 | 0.142 | 0.150 | 0.146 | 1.836 | 2.006 | 1.921 | 0.416 | 0.692 | 0.554 | 0.798 | 0.949 | 0.874 | |
| UP2554 | 0.222 | 0.142 | 0.182 | 1.744 | 1.486 | 1.615 | 0.636 | 0.864 | 0.750 | 0.867 | 0.831 | 0.849 | |
| Mean | 0.167 | 0.140 | 0.153 | 1.868 | 1.955 | 1.912 | 0.575 | 0.847 | 0.711 | 0.870 | 0.981 | 0.925 | |
| | PP | | Zn | | V | Р | P×Zn Zn× | | ×V | PP×V | PP×Zn×V | | |
| SEm± | 0.05 | | 0.04 | 0.04 | | | 0.07 | 0.10 | | 0.08 | 0.15 | | |
| CD (p≤0.05) | 0 | .15 | NS | | 0.17 | | NS | NS | | 0.24 | | NS | |

PP= Plant parts, Zn= Zinc, V= varieties, Zn0= 0 mg Zn/kg soil, Zn10= 10 mg Zn/kg soil

CONCLUSION

The highest average concentration of Zn was reported in stem at 30 and in root at 60, 90, and 120 days after sowing suggesting the constrained translocation of Zn from root to above ground potion of wheat at later stages of plant growth. Application of 10 mg Zn kg⁻¹ soil significantly increased the average concentration of Zn in different plant parts of wheat. Among the wheat varieties of varying Zn sensitivity, UP 2628 gave highest grain yield and lowest value was recorded in UP 262; however, the straw yield in both the varieties was statistically at par. The study suggested that the Zn efficient variety UP 2628 had better assimilation efficiency of Zn for yield production and uptake capacity of Zn in grain. While UP 262 exhibited lower efficiency for biochemical utilization of Zn to enhance the grain yields. The varieties UP 2554 and PBW 175 showed intermediate Copyright © August, 2017; IJPAB

behaviour. The pattern of Zn concentration distribution and yield in these varieties could be utilized for development of more efficient varieties of wheat by improving the mechanism of translocation of Zn from lower portions of plant to the grain to enhance the enrichment of this important micronutrient in the diet of vast population of countries where cereals are used as staple food.

REFERENCES

- Bendary, A.A., Masry, M.F., Fekry, M.E., Fouly, E. and Mohamed, M., Zinc efficiency of some Egyptian wheat genotypes grown in Zn deficient soil. *International Journal of AgriScience*, 3(3): 263-274 (2013).
- 2. Broadley, M.R., White, P., Hammond, P., Zelko, I. and Lux, A., Zinc in plants. *New Phytologist*, **173:** 677-702 (2007).

- 3. Cakmak, S., Gulut, K.Y., Marschner, H. and Graham, R.D., Effect of zinc and iron deficiency on phytosiderophore release in wheat genotypes differing in zinc efficiency. J. of Plant Nutr., 17: 1-17 (1994).
- 4. Dang, H.K, Li, R.Q., Sun, Y.H., Zhang, X.W. and Li, Y.M., Absorption, Accumulation and Distribution of Zinc in **Highly-Yielding** Winter Wheat. Agricultural Sciences in China, 9(7): 965-973 (2010).
- 5. Graham, R.D. and Rengel, Z., Genotypic Variation in Zn Uptake and Utilization by Plants. In: Robson, A.D. (Ed.), Zinc in Soils and Plants. Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. pp.107-114 (1993).
- 6. Hacisalihoglu, G., Hart, J.J., Wang, Y.H., Cakmak, I. and Kochian, L.V., Zinc efficiency is correlated with enhanced expression and activity of zinc-requiring enzymes in wheat. Plant Physiol., 131(2): 595-602 (2003).
- 7. Hart, J.J., Norvell, W.A., Welch, R.M., Sullivan, L.A. and Kochian, L.V., Characterization of Zinc Uptake, Binding, and Translocation in Intact Seedlings of Bread and Durum Wheat Cultivars. Plant Physiol., 118: 219–226 (1998).
- 8. Haslett, B.S., Reid, R.J. and Rengel, Z., Zinc mobility in wheat: uptake distribution of zinc applied to leaves or roots. Ann. Bot., 87: 379-386 (2001).
- 9. Jiang, Y. and Huang, B., Protein alterations in tall fescue in response to drought stress and abscisic acid. Crop Science, 42: 202-207 (2002).
- 10. Kalayci, M.B., Torun, S., Eker, M., Aydin, L., Ozturk, I. and Cakmak, I., Grain yield, zinc efficiency and zinc concentration of wheat cultivars grown in a zinc-deficient calcareous soil in field and greenhouse. Field crops Res., 63(1): 87-98 (1999).
- 11. Kumar, M. and Qureshi, F.M., Dynamics of Zinc Fractions, Availability to Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) and Residual Effect on Succeeding Maize (Zea mays L.)

in Inceptisols. Journal of Agricultural Science, 4(6): 236 (2012).

- 12. Maret, W, Sandstead, H.H., Zinc requirements and the risks and benefits of zinc supplementation. J. Trace Elem Med Biol., 20: 3-18 (2006).
- 13. Marschner, H., Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. 2nd edn. Academic Press, London, pp. 889 (1995).
- 14. Martinoia, E., Vacuolar transporters and their essential role in plant metabolism. J. Exp. Bot., 58: 83-102 (2007).
- 15. Palmgren, M.G., Clemens, S., Williams, L.E., Kramer, U., Borg, S., Schjorring, J.K. and Sanders, D., Zinc biofortification of cereals: problems and solutions. Trends Plant Sci., 13: 464-473 (2008).
- 16. Pearson J.N., Rengel Z., Jenner C.F. and Graham R.D., Manipulation of xylem transport affects Zn and Mn transport into developing wheat grains of cultured ears. Physiologia Plantarum, 98: 229–234 (1996).
- 17. Pearson, J.N. and Rengel, Z., Uptake and distribution of ⁶⁵Zn and ⁵⁴Mn in wheat grown at sufficient and deficient levels of Zn and Mn II. During grains development. J Exp Bot., 46(7): 841-845 (1995).
- 18. Ranjbar, G.A. and Bahmaniar, M.A., Effect of soil and foliar application of Zn fertilizer on vield and growth characteristics of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cultivars. Asian Journal of Sciences, 6: 1000-1005 (2007).
- 19. Rengel, Z., Genotypic differences in micronutrient use efficiency in crops. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 32(7/8): 1163-1186 (2001).
- 20. Singh, M.V., Detrimental effect of zinc deficiency on crops productivity and human health. First Global Conference on Biofortification, Harvest Plus. Washington, USA. (2010).
- 21. Zee, S.Y, and O'Brien, T.P., A special type of tracheary element associated with 'xylem discontinuity' in the floral axis of wheat. Australian Journal of Biological Sciences, 23: 783-791 (1970).